



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/599,740

10/06/2006

Yoshio Kawakami

P30925

3488

52123 7590 01/25/2011  
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.  
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE  
RESTON, VA 20191

EXAMINER

KENDALL, CHUCK O

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2192

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

01/25/2011

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com  
pto@gbpatent.com

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/599,740	<b>Applicant(s)</b> KAWAKAMI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> CHUCK O. KENDALL	<b>Art Unit</b> 2192	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 November 2010.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-34 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 06 October 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)         | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

***Detailed Action***

1. This is in response to application filed 11/11/10.
2. Claims 1 – 34 have been amended.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1 – 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sarfati US 6,970,960 B1 in view of Lillevold 6230284.

In Claims 1, 16, 22, 23 and 27 – 34 Sarfati discloses, a program execution apparatus that executes a program, said program execution apparatus comprising:

a first program selector operable to select, as a program to be executed, a program which is downloaded to a storage and executed (2:32 – 52);

a program monitor operable to monitor an operational state of a currently executed program (FIG. 13A – FIG. 13B, see all associated text);

an processor operable to execute the program selected by said first program selector and, in the case where abnormal termination of the currently executed program is detected by said program monitor, to execute the program selected by said second program selector (5:30 – 67);

a cause obtainer operable to obtain a cause of the abnormal termination of the program detected by said program monitor (5:30 – 67);  
and

a countermeasure executioner operable to execute a countermeasure based on the cause of the abnormal termination, wherein said processor is operable to execute the program selected by said second program selector, after the countermeasure is executed by said countermeasure executioner (5:30 – 67). Sarfati doesn't expressly disclose where in a second program selector operable to select, as a program to be executed, a program that is of the same type as the currently executed program, in the case where abnormal termination of the currently executed program is detected by said program monitor.

However, Lillevold in an analogous art and similar configuration discloses,

"...detects the occurrence of an error in the execution program; and after detection of the error, automatically initiates corrective action to revise the application program..."(4:60 – 67)

He also previous discloses that, "...If the revision program code 11 exists, the computer 10 may download a copy of the code 11 from the computer 12 and install the revision program code 11. In some embodiments, the crash handler program 16 causes the computer 10 to automatically perform both the downloading and the installation of the revision program code 11..." (2:30 – 40).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Sarfati and lillevold because it would enable correction the issue and returning the state of the computer prior to the crash as suggested by Lillevold.

Regarding claims 2 and 17, the program execution apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said second program selector is operable to select, as the program to be executed, a program which is different from the program selected by said first program selector (5:55 – 67, see data loader and different data loader).

Regarding claim 3, the program execution apparatus according to claim wherein said second program selector is operable to select, as the program to be executed, a program which is the same as the program

selected by said first program selector (5:10 - 67).

Regarding claim 4, the program execution apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said second program selector is operable to select, as the program to be executed:

a program which is the same as the program selected by said first program selector, in the case where a predetermined condition is not satisfied (5:50 - 67); and

a program which is different from the program selected by said first program selector, in the case where the predetermined condition is satisfied (5:50 - 67).

Regarding claims 5 and 24, the program execution apparatus according to claim 4, wherein said second program selector is operable to perform selection in the case where the predetermined condition is whether or not the number of abnormal terminations of the program which said program monitor has detected as having abnormally terminated exceeds a predetermined numbers and to determine that:

the predetermined condition is not satisfied in the case where the number of abnormal terminations of the program does not exceed the predetermined number (5:20 - 6:67); and

the predetermined condition is satisfied in the case where the number of abnormal terminations of the program exceeds the predetermined number (5:20 – 6:67).

Regarding claim 6, the program execution apparatus according to claim 4, wherein said second program selector is operable to perform selection in the case where the predetermined condition is whether or not the number of times a program to be selected has been selected exceeds a predetermined number, and to determine that:

the predetermined condition is not satisfied in the case where the number of times the program has been selected does not exceed the predetermined number (6:15 – 67); and

the predetermined condition has been satisfied in the case where the number of times the program is selected exceeds the predetermined number (6:15 – 67).

Regarding claims 7 and 22, the program execution apparatus according to claim 4, wherein said second program selector is operable to perform selection under an assumption that time information is the predetermined condition (6:15 – 67).

Regarding claim 8, the program execution apparatus according to claim 4, wherein said second program selector is operable to perform selection under an assumption that:

the predetermined condition is whether or not an instruction from a user is received (FIG. 13B and all associated text);

the predetermined condition is not satisfied in the case where the instruction from the user is not received (FIG. 13B and all associated text);  
and

the predetermined condition is satisfied in the case where the instruction from the user is received (FIG. 13B and all associated text).

Regarding claims 9 and 18, the program execution apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said second program selector is operable to select, as the program to be executed, a program in a non-volatile memory (2:50 – 65).

Regarding claim 10 and 19, the program execution apparatus according to claim 1, wherein one of said first program selector and said second program selector is operable to select, as the program to be executed, a program that can be acquired via a network (5:15 – 6:67).



Regarding claims 11 and 20, the program execution apparatus according to claim 1, wherein one of said first program selector and said second program selector is operable to select, as the program to be executed, a program that is included in a broadcast wave (5:15 – 6:67).

Regarding claims 13 and 21, the program execution apparatus according to claim 11 wherein said program monitor is operable, upon detecting abnormal termination of the currently executed program, to present an occurrence of the abnormal termination to a user (5:15 – 6:67).

Regarding claim 14, the program execution apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said program monitor is operable to present the abnormal termination occurrence to a user, using an LED display(5:15 – 6:67).

Regarding claim 15, the program execution apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said program monitor is operable to present the abnormal termination occurrence to a user, using a display on a display screen (5:15 – 6:67).

Regarding claim 25, the program execution apparatus according to claim 24, wherein said program monitor is operable to store the information

in a removable recording medium (FIG. 3).

Regarding claim 26, the program execution apparatus according to claim 24, wherein said program monitor is operable to transmit the information to an external destination (FIG.3 and associated text).

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 – 34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Correspondence Information***

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Kendall whose telephone number is 571-272-3698. The examiner can normally be reached between Monday and Thursday, at 11:00 am - 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached on 571-272-3695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Art Unit: 2192

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Chuck O Kendall/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192